

MFP Qualitative Research Institute
12/2/05 – 12/4/05
Research Talk, Inc.

Impressions: Ray is very knowledgeable and comfortable with the program.

Like Most: Discussions, demonstrations, assistance from experts; support.

Different:

Like / Not Like: Excellent program – not sure – need to understand it better.

Comments: Thanks! Thanks!

Impressions: I think the discussion was great. I think Ray was very thorough in explaining the basic functions of the program. Pat was also a great support.

Like Most: I enjoyed the ability to actually be able to practice the functions' of the software and apply this to our work or work in progress.

Different: I think the session was very well put together and it actually flowed well. I believe the facilitators should actually pride themselves on their ability to be concerned and make an effort to oversee that everyone understood the functions of the software and its enhancement to the analysis of Qual. Research.

Like / Not Like: I love the software. It is almost self explanatory especially after you figure out the icons.

Comments: The temperature of the room was slightly on the cold side, but everyone was very attentive and willing to change the temp to accommodate the participants.

Impressions: Excellent workshop. Great introduction to software capabilities and application.

Like Most: Flexibility, open communication, responses to questions, connection to each person's needs.

Different: More time – would like another work session.

Like / Not Like: I really like this software and look forward to using it for a research project.

Comments: Thoroughly enjoyed Ray, Pat and staff. Thank you for all your efforts and the wonderful information.

Impressions: Very useful for my planned research. A good introduction.
Would prefer written directions for some of the detailed paths.

Like Most: This is a very intensive and I really enjoyed learning about the
program. Seems very user friendly. Appreciated the extra
resources.

Different: More time and tutorial would be a nice addition.

Like / Not Like: So far I am very impressed and look forward to using it and
becoming more familiar with the program.

Comments: Think about publishing a MAXqda for dummies.

Impressions: Excellent

Like Most: Hands-on work sessions and available assistance from staff.

Different:

Like / Not Like: It was fun.

Comments:

Impressions: Needed more time to code. The room was too cold.

Like Most: Could work on own data.

Different: Perhaps longer day.

Like / Not Like: Very user friendly and not overwhelming.

Comments:

Impressions: Very good balance of qualitative process and software instruction. Would recommend it to others.

Like Most: Balance of qualitative process and software instruction. From data collection guide to analysis.

Different: More days, shorter days end at 4 or 5. Seminar #2 synthesizing and writing for publication.

Like / Not Like: Liked that days started at 9 am. Liked started ½ day Friday, although 7 pm was a bit late.

Comments: I really appreciated the instructors expertise and a different qualitative perspective / process than I'm used to. I really felt like my thinking was challenged and my input was valued. Thanks for learning our names Ray. Can't wait to go collect data.